

No. ID/FD/248/82/51425.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Harish Chand and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Harish Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/157/82/51432.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sita Ram Tiwari and the management of M/s. Kalra Metal Industries/Works, Jesico Colony, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab/68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sita Ram Tiwari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/246/82/51445.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mahavir Yadav and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for the adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mahavir Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/249/82/51452.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Badan and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matters hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Badan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?